ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 32 (2005) 666-676

www.elsevier.com/locate/ichmt

# Interfacial heat transfer coefficient for non-equilibrium convective transport in porous media<sup>☆</sup>

Marcelo B. Saito, Marcelo J.S. de Lemos\*

Departamento de Energia, IEME, Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, ITA, 12228-900, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil Available online 21 March 2005

### Abstract

The literature has documented proposals for macroscopic energy equation modeling for porous media considering the local thermal equilibrium hypothesis and laminar flow. In addition, two-energy equation models have been proposed for conduction and laminar convection in packed beds. With the aim of contributing to new developments, this work treats turbulent heat transport modeling in porous media under the local thermal non-equilibrium assumption. Macroscopic time-average equations for continuity, momentum and energy are presented based on the recently established *double decomposition* concept (spatial deviations and temporal fluctuations of flow properties). Interfacial heat transfer coefficients are numerically determined for an infinite medium over which the fully developed flow condition prevails. The numerical technique employed for discretizing the governing equations is the control volume method. Preliminary laminar flow results for the macroscopic heat transfer coefficient, between the fluid and solid phase in a periodic cell, are presented.

## 1. Introduction

In many industrial applications, turbulent flow through a packed bed represents an important configuration for efficient heat and mass transfer. A common model used for analyzing such system is the so-called "local thermal equilibrium" assumption where both solid and fluid phase temperatures are represented by a unique value. However, in many instances it is important to take into account distinct temperatures for the porous material and for the working fluid. In transient heat conduction processes,

\* Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>☆</sup> Communicated by J.P. Hartnett and W.J. Minkowycz.

E-mail address: delemos@mec.ita.br (M.J.S. de Lemos).

<sup>0735-1933/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2004.06.013

for example, the assumption of local thermal equilibrium must be discarded, according to Refs. [1] and [2]. Also, when there is significant heat generation in any one of the two phases, namely solid or fluid, average temperatures are no longer identical so that the hypothesis of local thermal equilibrium must be reevaluated. This suggests the use of equations governing thermal non-equilibrium involving distinct energy balances for both the solid and fluid phases. Accordingly, the use of such two-energy equation model requires an extra parameter to be determined, namely the heat transfer coefficient between the fluid and the solid material [3].

Quintard [4] argues that assessing the validity of the assumption of local thermal equilibrium is not a simple task since the temperature difference between the two phases cannot be easily estimated. He suggests that the use of a two-energy equation model is a possible approach to solving to the problem.

Kuwahara et al. [5] proposed a numerical procedure to determine macroscopic transport coefficients from a theoretical basis without any empiricism. They used a single unit cell and determined the interfacial heat transfer coefficient for the asymptotic case of infinite conductivity of the solid phase. Nakayama et al. [6] extended the conduction model of [2] for treating also convection in porous media. Having established the macroscopic energy equations for both phases, useful exact solutions were obtained for two fundamental heat transfer processes associated with porous media, namely, steady conduction in a porous slab with internal heat generation within the solid, and also, thermally developing flow through a semi-infinite porous medium.

In all of the above, only laminar flow has been considered [7,8]. When treating turbulent flow in porous media, however, difficulties arise due to the fact that the flow fluctuates with time and a volumetric average is applied [9]. For handling such situations, a new concept called *double decomposition* has been proposed for developing a macroscopic model for turbulent transport in porous media [9–13]. This methodology has been extended to non-buoyant heat transfer [14], buoyant flows [15] and mass transfer [16]. Based on this same concept, de Lemos and Rocamora [17] have developed a macroscopic turbulent energy equation for a homogeneous, rigid and saturated porous medium, considering local thermal equilibrium between the fluid and the solid matrix. A general classification of all methodologies for treating turbulent flow and heat transfer in porous media has been recently published [18].

This work proposes a macroscopic heat transfer analysis using a two-energy equation model for conduction and convection mechanisms in porous media. Here, an extension of the transport model of [17] considers local thermal non-equilibrium. The contribution herein consists in documenting and testing a detailed numerical model for obtaining the interfacial heat transfer coefficient, since in most published papers no such detailing is made available.

## 2. Microscopic transport equations

Microscopic transport equations for incompressible fluid flow in a rigid homogeneous porous medium have been already presented in the literature and for that they are here just presented (e.g. Ref. [17]). They read,

Continuity: 
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$
 (1)

Momentum : 
$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) \right] = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}.$$
 (2)

668 M.B. Saito, M.J.S. de Lemos / International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 32 (2005) 666–676

Energy – Fluid Phase : 
$$(\rho c_{\rm p})_{\rm f} \left\{ \frac{\partial T_{\rm f}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} T_{\rm f}) \right\} = \nabla \cdot (k_{\rm f} \nabla T_{\rm f}) + S_{\rm f}.$$
 (3)

Energy – Solid Phase (Porous Matrix) : 
$$(\rho c_p)_s \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k_s \nabla T_s) + S_s.$$
 (4)

where the subscripts f and s refer to fluid and solid phases, respectively. Here,  $\rho$  is the fluid density, **u** is the fluid instantaneous velocity, p is the pressure,  $\mu$  represents the fluid viscosity, T is the temperature,  $k_f$  is the fluid thermal conductivity,  $k_s$  is the solid thermal conductivity,  $c_p$  is the specific heat and S is the heat generation term. If there is no heat generation either in the solid or in the fluid, one has further  $S_f = S_s = 0$ .

#### 3. Decomposition of flow variables in space and time

Macroscopic transport equations for turbulent flow in a porous medium are obtained through the simultaneous application of time and volume average operators over a generic fluid property  $\varphi$  [9]. Such concepts are mathematically defined as,

$$\bar{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int^{t+\Delta t} \varphi dt$$
, with  $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} + \varphi'$  (5)

$$\langle \varphi \rangle^{i} = \frac{1}{\Delta V_{\rm f}} \int_{\Delta V_{\rm f}} \varphi dV; \langle \varphi \rangle^{\nu} = \phi \langle \varphi \rangle^{i}; \phi = \frac{\Delta V_{\rm f}}{\Delta V}, \text{ with } \varphi = \langle \varphi \rangle^{i} + {}^{i} \varphi$$

$$\tag{6}$$

where  $\Delta V_{\rm f}$  is the volume of the fluid contained in a Representative Elementary Volume (REV)  $\Delta V$ .

The *double decomposition* idea introduced and fully described by Pedras and de Lemos [9–13] combines Eqs. (5) and (6) and can be summarized as:

$$\overline{\langle \varphi \rangle^{i}} = \langle \bar{\varphi} \rangle^{i}; {}^{i} \bar{\varphi} = \overline{{}^{i} \varphi}; \langle \varphi' \rangle^{i} = \langle \varphi \rangle^{i'}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

and,

$$\begin{cases} \varphi' = \langle \varphi' \rangle^{i} + {}^{i} \varphi' \\ \vdots \\ \varphi = \overline{{}^{i} \varphi} + {}^{i} \varphi' \end{cases} \text{ where } {}^{i} \varphi' = \varphi' - \langle \varphi' \rangle^{i} = {}^{i} \varphi - \overline{{}^{i} \varphi} .$$

$$(8)$$

Therefore, the quantity  $\varphi$  can be expressed by either,

$$\varphi = \overline{\langle \varphi \rangle^i} + \langle \varphi \rangle^{i\prime} + {}^i \overline{\varphi} + {}^i \varphi^\prime, \tag{9}$$

or

$$\varphi = \langle \bar{\varphi} \rangle^i + {}^i \bar{\varphi} + \langle \varphi' \rangle^i + {}^i \varphi'.$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

The term  ${}^{i}\varphi'$  can be viewed as either the temporal fluctuation of the spatial deviation or the spatial deviation of the temporal fluctuation of the quantity  $\varphi$ .

# 4. Macroscopic flow and energy equations

When the average operators (5) and (6) are applied over Eqs. (1) and (2) macroscopic equations for turbulent flow are obtained (see [9-13] for details). Volume integration is performed over a Representative Elementary Volume (REV) [7,8] resulting in,

Continuity: 
$$\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D = 0.$$
 (11)

where,  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_D = \phi \langle \bar{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^i$  and  $\langle \bar{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^i$  identifies the intrinsic (liquid) average of the time-averaged velocity vector  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ .

Momentum : 
$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_D \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D}{\phi} \right) \right] = -\nabla \left( \phi \langle \overline{p} \rangle^i \right) + \mu \nabla^2 \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D - \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \phi \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'} \rangle^i \right) - \left[ \frac{\mu \phi}{K} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D + \frac{c_F \phi \rho |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_D| \bar{\mathbf{u}}_D}{\sqrt{K}} \right],$$
 (12)

where the last two terms in Eq. (12) represent the Darcy and Forchheimer contributions [19]. The symbol K is the porous medium permeability,  $c_{\rm F}$  is the form drag or Forchheimer coefficient,  $\langle \bar{p} \rangle^i$  is the intrinsic average pressure of the fluid, and  $\phi$  is the porosity of the porous medium.

The macroscopic Reynolds stress  $-\rho \hat{\phi} \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'} \rangle^i$  appearing in Eq. (12) is given as,

$$-\rho\phi\langle \overline{\mathbf{u}'\mathbf{u}'}\rangle^{i} = \mu_{t_{\phi}}2\langle \overline{\mathbf{D}}\rangle^{\mathbf{v}} - \frac{2}{3}\phi\rho\langle k\rangle^{i}\mathbf{I},$$
(13)

where,

$$\langle \overline{\mathbf{D}} \rangle^{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \nabla \left( \phi \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^{i} \right) + \left[ \nabla \left( \phi \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^{i} \right) \right]^{T} \right], \tag{14}$$

is the macroscopic deformation tensor,  $\langle k \rangle^i = \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' \cdot \mathbf{u}'} \rangle^i / 2$  is the intrinsic turbulent kinetic energy, and  $\mu_{t_{\phi}}$ , is the turbulent viscosity, which is modeled in [18] similarly to the case of clear flow, in the form,

$$\mu_{\mathbf{t}_{\phi}} = \rho c_{\mu} \frac{\langle k \rangle^{i^{2}}}{\langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i}},\tag{15}$$

The intrinsic turbulent kinetic energy per unit mass and its dissipation rate are governed by the following equations,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi \langle k \rangle^{i}) + \nabla \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D} \langle k \rangle^{i}) \right] = \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_{t_{\phi}}}{\sigma_{k}} \right) \nabla (\phi \langle k \rangle^{i}) \right] - \rho \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'} \rangle^{i} : \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D} + c_{k} \rho \frac{\phi \langle k \rangle^{i} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D}|}{\sqrt{K}} - \rho \phi \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i}$$

$$(16)$$

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \phi \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D} \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i} \right) \right] = \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_{t_{\phi}}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla \left( \phi \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i} \right) \right] + c_{1} \left( -\rho \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' \mathbf{u}'} \rangle^{i} : \nabla \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D} \right) \frac{\langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i}}{\langle k \rangle^{i}} + c_{2} c_{k} \rho \frac{\phi \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i} |\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{D}|}{\sqrt{K}} - c_{2} \rho \phi \frac{\langle \varepsilon \rangle^{i^{2}}}{\langle k \rangle^{i}}$$

$$(17)$$

where,  $c_k$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $c_{\mu}$  are non-dimensional constants.

Similarly, macroscopic energy equations are obtained for both fluid and solid phases by applying time and volume average operators to Eqs. (3) and (4) (see Refs. [9–13] for details). As in the flow case, volume integration is performed over a Representative Elementary Volume (REV) [7,8] resulting in,

$$(\rho c_{\rm p})_{\rm f} \left[ \frac{\partial \phi \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \phi \left( \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^{\rm i} \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i} + \langle^{\rm i} \overline{\mathbf{u}}^{\rm i} \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i} + \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}' T_{\rm f}'} \rangle^{\rm i} \right) \right\} \right]$$

$$= \nabla \cdot \left[ k_{\rm f} \nabla (\phi \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i}) \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} k_{\rm f} \overline{T_{\rm f}} d\mathcal{A} \right] + \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} \cdot k_{\rm f} \nabla \overline{T_{\rm f}} d\mathcal{A},$$

$$(18)$$

$$(\rho c_{\rm p})_{\rm s} \left\{ \frac{\partial (1 - \phi) \langle \overline{T_{\rm s}} \rangle^{\rm i}}{\partial t} \right\} = \nabla \cdot \left\{ k_{\rm s} \nabla [(1 - \phi) \langle \overline{T_{\rm s}} \rangle^{\rm i}] \right\} - \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} k_{\rm s} \overline{T_{\rm s}} d\mathcal{A} \right]$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} \cdot k_{\rm s} \nabla \overline{T_{\rm s}} d\mathcal{A},$$

$$(19)$$

where  $\langle \overline{T_s} \rangle^i$  and  $\langle \overline{T_f} \rangle^i$  denote the intrinsically averaged temperature of solid and fluid phases, respectively,  $A_i$  is the interfacial area within the REV and  $\mathbf{n}_i$  is the unit vector normal to the fluid–solid interface, pointing from the fluid towards the solid phase. Eqs. (18) and (19) are the macroscopic energy equations for the fluid and the porous matrix (solid), respectively.

Further, using the *double decomposition* concept, Rocamora and de Lemos [14] have shown that the fourth term on the left hand side of Eq. (18) can be expressed as:

$$\langle \overline{\mathbf{u}'T'_{f}} \rangle^{i} = \left\langle \left( \overline{\langle \mathbf{u}' \rangle^{i} + {}^{i}\mathbf{u}'} \right) \left( \langle T'_{f} \rangle^{i} + {}^{i}T' \right) \right\rangle^{i} = \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}'} \rangle^{i} \langle T_{f}' \rangle^{i} + \langle \overline{{}^{i}\mathbf{u}'{}^{i}T_{f}'} \rangle^{i}.$$
(20)

Therefore, in view of Eq. (20), Eq. (18) can be rewritten as:

$$(\rho c_{\rm p})_{\rm f} \left[ \frac{\partial \phi \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \phi \left( \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}} \rangle^{\rm i} \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i} + \langle^{\rm i} \overline{\mathbf{u}}^{\rm i} \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i} + \langle \overline{\mathbf{u}'} \rangle^{\rm i} \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}'} \rangle^{\rm i} + \langle^{\rm i} \overline{\mathbf{u}'} \overline{T_{\rm f}'} \rangle^{\rm i} \right) \right\} \right]$$
  
=  $\nabla \cdot [k_{\rm f} \nabla (\phi \langle \overline{T_{\rm f}} \rangle^{\rm i})] + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{A_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} k_{\rm f} \overline{T_{\rm f}} dA \right] + \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_{A_{\rm i}} \mathbf{n}_{\rm i} \cdot k_{\rm f} \nabla \overline{T_{\rm f}} dA.$  (21)

#### 5. Interfacial heat transfer coefficient

In Eqs. (19) and (21), the heat transferred between the two phases can be modeled by means of a film coefficient  $h_i$  such that,

$$h_{i}a_{i}\left(\langle\overline{T_{s}}\rangle^{i}-\langle\overline{T_{f}}\rangle^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta V}\int_{A_{i}}\mathbf{n}_{i}\cdot k_{f}\nabla\overline{T_{f}}dA=\frac{1}{\Delta V}\int_{A_{i}}\mathbf{n}_{i}\cdot k_{s}\nabla\overline{T_{s}}dA.$$
(22)

where,  $h_i$  is known as the interfacial convective heat transfer coefficient,  $A_i$  is the interfacial heat transfer area and  $a_i = A_i/\Delta V$  is the surface area per unit volume.

For determining  $h_i$ , Kuwahara et al. [5] modeled a porous medium by considering an infinite number of solid square rods of size D, arranged in a regular triangular pattern (see Fig. 1). They numerically



Fig. 1. Physical model and coordinate system.

solved the governing equations in the void region, exploiting to advantage the fact that for an infinite and geometrically ordered medium a repetitive cell can be identified. Periodic boundary conditions were then applied for obtaining the temperature distribution under fully developed flow conditions. A numerical correlation for the interfacial convective heat transfer coefficient was proposed by Kuwahara et al. [5] as,

$$\frac{h_i D}{k_{\rm f}} = \left(1 + \frac{4(1-\phi)}{\phi}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(1-\phi)^{1/2} R e_{\rm D} P r^{1/3}, \text{ valid for } 0.2 < \phi < 0.9,$$
(23)

Eq. (23) is based on porosity dependency and is valid for packed beds of particle diameter D.

This same physical model will be used here for obtaining the interfacial heat transfer coefficient  $h_i$  for macroscopic flows.

## 6. Periodic cell and boundary conditions

In order to evaluate the numerical tool to be used in the determination of the film coefficient given by Eq. (22), a test case was run for obtaining the flow field in a periodic cell, which is here assumed to represent the porous medium. Consider a macroscopically uniform flow through an infinite number of square rods of lateral size D, placed in a staggered fashion and maintained at constant temperature  $T_w$ . The periodic cell or representative elementary volume,  $\Delta V$ , is schematically showed in Fig. 1 and has dimensions  $2H \times H$ . Computations within this cell were carried out using a non-uniform grid of size  $90 \times 70$  nodes, as shown in Fig. 2, to ensure that the results were grid independent. The Reynolds number  $Re_D = \rho \mathbf{u}_D D/\mu$  was varied from 4 to  $4 \times 10^2$ . Further, porosity was calculated in the range  $0.44 < \phi < 0.90$  using the formula  $\phi = 1 - (D/H)^2$ .



Fig. 2. Non-uniform computational grid.

The numerical method utilized to discretize the microscopic flow and energy equations in the unit cell is the Control Volume approach. The SIMPLE method of Patankar [20] was used for the velocity–pressure coupling. Convergence was monitored in terms of the normalized residue for each variable. The maximum residue allowed for convergence check was set to  $10^{-9}$ , being the variables normalized by appropriate reference values.

For fully developed flow in the cell of Fig. 1, the velocity at exit (x/H=2) must be identical to that at the inlet (x/H=0). Temperature profiles, however, are only identical at both cell exit and inlet if presented in terms of an appropriate non-dimensional variable. The situation is analogous to the case of forced convection in a channel with isothermal walls. Thus, boundary conditions and periodic constraints are given by:

On the solid walls:

$$\mathbf{u} = 0, T = T_{\mathrm{w}}.$$

On the periodic boundaries:

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\text{inlet}} = \mathbf{u}|_{\text{outlet}},\tag{25}$$

$$\int_{0}^{H} u \, \mathrm{d}y \Big|_{\mathrm{inlet}} = \int_{0}^{H} u \, \mathrm{d}y \Big|_{\mathrm{outlet}} = H |\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{D}}|, \tag{26}$$

$$\theta|_{\text{inlet}} = \theta|_{\text{outlet}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{T - T_{\text{w}}}{T_{\text{B}}(x) - T_{\text{w}}} \bigg|_{\text{inlet}} = \frac{T - T_{\text{w}}}{T_{\text{B}}(x) - T_{\text{w}}} \bigg|_{\text{outlet}},$$
(27)

The bulk mean temperature of the fluid is given by:

$$T_{\rm B}(x) = \frac{\int u T \mathrm{d}y}{\int u \mathrm{d}y}$$
(28)

Computations are based on the Darcy velocity, the length of structural unit H and the temperature difference  $(T_{\rm B}(x) - T_{\rm w})$ , as references scales.

## 7. Preliminary laminar results and discussion

#### 7.1. Periodic flow

Preliminary results for velocity and temperature fields were obtained for different Reynolds numbers. In order to assure that the flow is hydrodynamically and thermally developed in the periodic cell of Fig. 1, the governing equations were solved repetitively in the cell, taking the outlet profiles for **u** and  $\theta$  at exit and plugging them back at inlet. In the first run, uniform velocity and temperature profiles were set at the cell entrance for Pr=1 and  $Re_D=100$ , giving  $\theta=1$  at x/H=0. Then, after convergence of the flow and temperature fields, **u** and  $\theta$  at x/H=2 were used as inlet profiles for a second run, corresponding to solving again the flow for a similar cell beginning in x/H=2. Similarly, a third run was carried out and



Fig. 3. Dimensionless temperature profile for Pr=1 and  $Re_{\rm D}=100$ .

again outlet results, this time corresponding to an axial position x/H=4, were recorded. This procedure was repeated several times until **u** and  $\theta$  did not differ substantially at both inlet and outlet positions. Resulting non-dimensional temperature profiles are shown in Fig. 3 showing that the periodicity constraints imposed by Eqs. (25)–(27) was satisfied for x/H>4. For the entrance region (0 < x/H < 4),  $\theta$ profiles change with length x/H being essentially invariable after this distance. Under this condition of constant  $\theta$  profile, the flow was considered to be macroscopically developed for  $Re_D$  up to 400.

# 7.2. Developed flow and temperature fields

Macroscopically developed flow field for Pr=1 and  $Re_D=100$  is presented in Fig. 4, corresponding to x/D=6 at the cell inlet (see Fig. 3). The expression "macroscopically developed" is used herein to account for the fact that periodic flow has been achieved at that axial position. Fig. 4 indicates that the flow impinges on the left face of the obstacles, surrounds the rod faces and forms a weak recirculation bubble past the rod. When the Reynolds number is low (not shown here), the horizontal velocity field in between two rods appears to be very similar to what we observe in a channel, namely the parabolic profile, particularly at inlet and outlet of unit cell. As  $Re_D$  increases, stronger recirculation bubbles appear further behind the rods. Temperature distribution pattern is shown in Fig. 5, also for  $Re_D=100$ . Colder fluid impinges on the left surface yielding strong temperature gradients on that face. Downstream the obstacle, fluid recirculation smoothes temperature gradients and deforms isotherms within the mixing



Fig. 4. Velocity field for Pr=1 and  $Re_{\rm D}=100$ .



Fig. 5. Isotherms for Pr=1 and  $Re_{\rm D}=100$ .

region. When the Reynolds number is sufficiently high (not shown here), the thermal boundary layers covering the rod surfaces indicate that convective heat transfer overwhelms thermal diffusion.

# 7.3. Film coefficient $h_i$

Determination of  $h_i$  is here obtained by calculating, for the unit cell of Fig. 1, an expression given as,

$$h_i = \frac{Q_{\text{total}}}{A_i \Delta T_{\text{ml}}} \tag{29}$$

where  $A_i = 8D \times 1$ . The overall heat transferred in the cell,  $Q_{\text{total}}$ , is given by,

$$Q_{\text{total}} = (H - D)\rho \mathbf{u}_{\text{B}} c_{\text{p}} (T_{\text{B}}|_{\text{outlet}} - T_{\text{B}}|_{\text{inlet}}),$$
(30)

where  $\mathbf{u}_{\rm B}$  is the bulk mean velocity of the fluid and the logarithm mean temperature difference,  $\Delta T_{\rm ml}$  is,

$$\Delta T_{\rm ml} = \frac{(T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm B}|_{\rm outlet}) - (T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm B}|_{\rm inlet})}{\ln[(T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm B}|_{\rm outlet}) / (T_{\rm w} - T_{\rm B}|_{\rm inlet})]}$$
(31)

Eq. (29) represents an overall heat balance on the entire cell and associates the heat transferred to the fluid to a suitable temperature difference  $\Delta T_{\rm ml}$ . As mentioned earlier, Eqs. (1)–(4) were numerically solved in the unit cell until conditions Eqs. (25)–(27) were satisfied.



Fig. 6. Effect of  $Re_D$  on  $h_i$  for Pr=1; symbols: present results; solid lines: Kuwahara et al. [5].

Once fully developed flow and temperature are fields were achieved, for the fully developed condition (x>6H), bulk temperatures were calculated according to Eq. (28), at both inlet and outlet positions. They were then used to calculate  $h_i$  using Eqs. (29)–(31). Results for  $h_i$  are plotted in Fig. 6 for  $Re_D$  up to 400. Also plotted in this figure are results computed with correlation (23) using different porosity values. The figure seems to indicate that both computations show a reasonable agreement.

## 8. Concluding remarks

For a porous medium, a computational procedure for determining the convective coefficient of heat exchange between the porous substrate and the working fluid was detailed. As a preliminary result, a macroscopically uniform laminar flow through a periodic model of isothermal square rods was computed, considering repetitive velocity and temperature fields. Quantitative agreement was obtained when comparing the preliminary results herein with simulations by Kuwahara et al. [5]. Further work will be carried out in order to simulate fully turbulent flow and heat transfer in porous media by means of the proposed two-energy equation. Ultimately, it is expected that a new correlation for the heat transfer coefficient be obtained so that the exchange energy between the solid and the fluid can be accounted for.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to FAPESP and CNPq Brazil, for their financial support during the course of this research.

#### References

- [1] M. Kaviany, Principles of Heat Transfer in Porous Media, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 1995.
- [2] C.T. Hsu, J. Heat Transfer 121 (1999) 733.
- [3] A.V. Kuznetsov, Thermal Nonequilibrium Forced Convection in Porous Media, in: D.B. Ingham, I. Pop (Eds.), Transport Phenomena in Porous Media, 1st ed., Elsevier Sc., Oxford, 1998, p. 103.
- [4] M. Quintard, Modelling Local Non-Equilibrium Heat Transfer in Porous Media, Heat Transfer, Proc. 11th Int. Heat Transfer Conf., Kyongyu, Korea, vol. 1, 1998, p. 279.
- [5] F. Kuwahara, M. Shirota, A. Nakayama, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44 (2001) 1153.
- [6] A. Nakayama, F. Kuwahara, M. Sugiyama, G. Xu, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44 (2001) 4375.
- [7] W.G. Gray, P.C.Y. Lee, Int. J. Multiph. Flow 3 (1977) 333.
- [8] J.C. Slattery, AIChE J. 13 (1967) 1066.
- [9] M.H.J. Pedras, M.J.S. de Lemos, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf. 27 (2) (2000) 211.
- [10] M.H.J. Pedras, M.J.S. de Lemos, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 44 (6) (2001) 1081.
- [11] M.H.J. Pedras, M.J.S. de Lemos, Numer. Heat Transfer, Part A 39 (1) (2001) 35.
- [12] M.H.J. Pedras, M.J.S. de Lemos, J. Fluids Eng. 123 (4) (2001) 941.
- [13] M.H.J. Pedras, M.J.S. de Lemos, Numer. Heat Transfer, Part A 43 (6) (2003) 585.
- [14] F.D. Rocamora Jr., M.J.S. de Lemos, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf. 27 (6) (2000) 825.
- [15] M.J.S. de Lemos, E.J. Braga, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf. 30 (5) (2003) 615.
- [16] M.J.S. de Lemos, M.S. Mesquita, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf. 30 (1) (2003) 105.

- 676 M.B. Saito, M.J.S. de Lemos / International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 32 (2005) 666–676
- [17] M.J.S. de Lemos, F.D. Rocamora Jr., Turbulent Transport Modeling for Heated Flow in Rigid Porous Media, Heat Transfer, in: Proc. 12th. Int. Heat Transfer Conf., Grenoble, France, vol. 2, Elsevier, 2002, p. 791.
- [18] M.J.S. de Lemos, M.H.J Pedras, J. Fluids Eng. 123 (4) (2001) 935.
- [19] P. Forchheimer, Z. Ver. Dtsch. Ing. 45 (1901) 1782.
- [20] S.V. Patankar, Numerical Heat Transfer and Fluid Flow, Hemisphere, New York, 1980.